

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/941,447

Applicant(s)

HALAHAN ET AL.

Examiner

Toniae M. Thomas

Art Unit

2822

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Toniae M. Thomas.

(3) _____.

(2) Michael Shenker (Reg. No. 34,250).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 06 March 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: N/A.

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the examiner called Mr. Shenker regarding the election filed on 19 December 2002. The elected species, Group I, contains claims that are drawn to a non-elected species, claims 71 and 73. The telephone call did not result in an election being made. The examiner will resend the restriction requirement mailed on 19 November 2002.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required